#### MINUTES

Joint Meeting of North Dakota State Water Commission and Garrison Diversion Conservancy District Bismarck, North Dakota

July 2, 1992

The North Dakota State Water Commission and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District held a joint meeting in the Washburn Room at the Holiday Inn, Bismarck, North Dakota, on July 2, 1992. Chairmen, Lieutenant Governor Lloyd Omdahl and Charles Richter, called the meeting to order at 8:30 AM. The roll call of each Board was taken, and the agenda presented.

## STATE WATER COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

Lieutenant Governor Lloyd Omdahl, Chairman
Sarah Vogel, Commissioner, Department of Agriculture, Bismarck
Joyce Byerly, Member from Watford City
Marjorie Farstveet, Member from Beach
Jacob Gust, Member from Fargo
Lorry Kramer, Member from Minot
Daniel Narlock, Member from Grand Forks
Norman Rudel, Member from Fessenden
Jerome Spaeth, Member from Fargo
David Sprynczynatyk, State Engineer and Chief EngineerNorth Dakota State Water Commission, Bismarck

# GARRISON DIVERSION CONSERVANCY DISTRICT EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Charles A. Richter, Chairman, Executive Committee Robert Strand, Vice Chairman, Executive Committee Norman Haak, Second Vice Chairman, Executive Committee J. C. Eaton, Director, Executive Committee Richard Fugleberg, Director, Executive Committee Argil Froemke, Director, Executive Committee LeRoy Johnson, Director, Executive Committee Milton Lochow, Director, Executive Committee Tilmer Reiswig, Director, Executive Committee C. Emerson Murry, Secretary, Executive Committee Russell Dushinske, Executive Committee, Ex-Officio Lester Anderson, Board Member, Bottineau County Rick Anderson, Board Member, McLean County Stephen Ashley, Board Member, McHenry County Paul Christianson, Board Member, Renville County

Lester DeKrey, Board Member, Barnes County
Roger Johnson, Board Member, Nelson County
Charles Klosterman, Board Member, Richland County
Kenneth Leininger, Board Member, Griggs County
Jon Lindgren, Board Member, Cass County
Steve Metzger, Board Member, Foster County
Maurice Orn, Board Member, Sargent County
Frank Orthmeyer, Board Member, Grand Forks County
Jerrold Roble, Board Member, Wells County
Thomas Shockman, Board Member, LaMoure County
Connie Sprynczynatyk, Board Member, Burleigh County

#### GARRISON DIVERSION CONSERVANCY DISTRICT BOARD MEMBER ABSENT: David Johnson, Board Member, Benson County

The attendance register is on file in the State Water Commission offices (filed with official copy of minutes).

The meeting was recorded to assist in compilation of the minutes.

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT PROJECT UPDATE; FUTURE PLANNING;
AND FISCAL YEAR 1993 BUDGET
(SWC Project No. 237)

Emerson Murry, Manager of the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District, gave a status report on the Garrison Diversion Project, future planning for the

project, and the budget for Fiscal Year 1993. The Garrison Funding Advisory Committee agreed at its March 13, 1992 meeting to request an increase in the Fiscal Year 1993 funding level to \$39 million. This increased funding level from \$30 million to \$39 million was to address the municipal, rural and industrial water supply needs of the Indians. Final approval of the federal Fiscal Year 1993 funds should be known by mid-July, 1992.

Mr. Murry discussed draft proposed amendments and legislation to the Garrison Diversion Unit Reformulation Act of 1986, which has been distributed in Washington, DC. The proposed amendments address the following:

- 1) Mid Dakota Dam and Sheyenne Lake
- 2) Stabilization of Devils Lake
- 3) Abandoned or oversized features
- 4) Indian irrigation facilities
- 5) Sheyenne River treatment facilities
- 6) Adjustment to the authorization of appropriations

- 7) Wildlife enhancement
- 8) Irrigation facilities
- 9) Operation, maintenance and replacement of existing facilities

Sinner and representatives of the State, met in Washington, DC with representatives of Congressman Miller's staff and environmental organizations to discuss and consider the bill for introduction in Congress. Mr. Murry indicated the intended purpose of the meeting was to discuss the proposed bill amending the 1986 Reformulation Act, principally the Mid Dakota and Devils Lake stabilization proposals. The evening before the meeting, the National Wildlife Federation and the National Audubon Society delivered a press statement set for release the next day which rejected the Mid Dakota proposal and indicated they would support the provisions of the 1986 Reformulation Act. As a consequence, Mr. Murry said the meeting the following day was only a limited negotiation session.

frustration expressed by Governor Sinner, Senator Conrad, Congressman Dorgan and state representatives over the making of a decision prior to the meeting. He said it was agreed that language would be placed in the Fiscal Year 1993 appropriation bill in the Senate requiring the Bureau of Reclamation to make a full feasibility study of the Sykeston Canal proposal and a full Environmental Impact Study. This will include a review of all reasonable alternatives, including Mid Dakota and the options specified in the report on Canadian consultations, which is to be completed by December 31, 1993.

Mr. Murry indicated that funds and language will be placed in the appropriations for the Corps of Engineers to complete the full feasibility study for stabilization and flood control of Devils Lake.

He stated that Dan Beard, Staff Director for the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, has agreed to arrange for meetings over the next year to further discuss the secondary items contained in the proposed bill.

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT - MR&I WATER SUPPLY PROJECT UPDATE (SWC Project No. 237-3)

Secretary Sprynczynatyk reported on the MR&I Water Supply Program activities. The Ramsey County Rural Water Project has

acquired additional sign-ups and has installed several miles of pipe since construction began on June 1, 1992.

The Garrison Rural Water Association completed their final sign-up campaign on June 13, 1992. Approximately 50 additional users were added including Douglas Bay, which brings the approximate number of users to 220.

Secretary Sprynczynatyk briefed the groups on water supply problems the City of Washburn is experiencing relative to its intake in the Missouri River. The city is working with the State Water Commission staff and the Corps of Engineers on alternatives to modify the intake structure.

The remaining MR&I projects have expended the majority of their federal funding available. Secretary Sprynczynatyk explained that due to the time between the receipt of the advance of federal funds and the billings received for the MR&I projects, interest has accrued on the advanced funds to the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District.

Previously, the State Water Commission and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District Board of Directors approved the expenditure of interest accrued on the Southwest Pipeline Project federal funding, to the Southwest Pipeline. The remaining accrued interest was reserved for supplementing the state loan funds of the MR&I Water Supply Program. Secretary Sprynczynatyk explained that since these approvals, initial research indicates the accrued interest may not be used by the State Water Commission on the Southwest Pipeline Project or to supplement the state loan funds of the MR&I Water Supply Program. This will be researched further.

Secretary Sprynczynatyk stated alternatives to utilizing the accrued interest would involve the funding of other MR&I water supply projects, such as the Na chiin Huun - Dakota Project preliminary engineering report, Graham's Island State Park Water Supply project, and other projects in the MR&I Program that require non-federal funding assistance.

The Garrison Diversion Conservancy District Board of Directors considered and approved the allocation of accrued interest money at its July 1, 1992 meeting.

The Garrison Diversion Unit MR&I Water Supply Program Priority List Scorecard and a summary of the applications submitted to the Program is attached hereto as APPENDIX "A".

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT -FINANCING OPTIONS FOR MR&I WATER SUPPLY PROGRAM (SWC Project No. 237-3)

Secretary Sprynczynatyk indicated the biggest problem is continued funding for water development. He pointed out the cost share with Garrison

funds has been changed from 75 percent federal grant - 25 percent local to 65 percent federal grant - 35 percent local. He said the 35 percent can be obtained from the Resources Trust Fund, through the State Water Commission, payable over 25 years at 3 5/8 percent

interest. The intent is to make this program self-sustaining but, he said, we need an infusion of state funds. Secretary Sprynczynatyk said the ideal situation is to have additional revenues available and that is what Governor Sinner's Water Strategy Task Force recommended.

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT -STATE MR&I PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1992 FUNDING (SWC Project No. 237-3)

Jeffrey Mattern, MR&I Water Supply Program Coordinator, stated that the Fiscal Year 1992 Garrison Diversion Unit federal appropriation is \$33

million, which includes \$15 million for the State MR&I Water Supply Program.

Water Supply Program for Fiscal Year 1992 was presented for the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District and the State Water Commission's consideration, which is attached hereto as APPENDIX "B". Mr. Mattern summarized the required federal grant funds as follows:

	Previously Approved MR&I Grants	Proposed MR&I Grants
Southwest Pipeline Ramsey County Rural Water Missouri West Rural Water Tri-County Rural Water Stanley Water Supply Kindred Water Supply State Reimbursement Feasibility Study State Administration	\$ 9,700,000 3,900,000 2,275,000 183,950 1,878,500 85,800 1,219,490 25,000 302,000	\$ 9,700,000 3,900,000 2,275,000 223,750 1,878,500 66,950 1,230,770 3,750 245,950
Total	\$19,569,740	\$19,524,670

Grant funds released and available for reprogramming as pre-Fiscal Year 1992 funding are as follows:

North Valley Rural Water and City of Cavalier Old Settlers Park	\$ 609,600
Total	\$ 613,153

Mr. Mattern explained that the proposed budget reprograms \$84,280 to administration and \$528,873 to state reimbursement. Mr. Mattern said the reprogrammable funds should be expended by September 30, 1992.

It was the recommendation of the State Engineer that the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District and the State Water Commission approve the Fiscal Year 1992 MR&I Water Supply Program budget of \$19,524,670. The State Engineer also recommended that the \$528,873 of reprogrammable fund be used as state reimbursement on the Southwest Pipeline Project to ensure that the funds are expended by September 30, 1992.

Conservancy District Board of Directors considered the State Engineer's recommendation and a motion was passed to approve the Fiscal Year 1992 MR&I Water Supply Budget of \$19,524,670, and that the \$528,873 of reprogrammable funds be used as state reimbursement on the Southwest Pipeline Project to ensure that the funds are expended by September 30, 1992.

was moved by Commissioner Rudel seconded by Commissioner Gust that the State Water Commission approve the Fiscal Year 1992 Water Supply Program budget \$19,524,670. that and the \$528,873 reprogrammable funds be used as state reimbursement on Southwest Pipeline the Project to ensure that the funds are expended by September 30, 1992.

Commissioners Byerly, Farstveet, Gust, Kramer, Narlock, Rudel, Spaeth, Vogel, and Chairman Omdahl voted aye. There were no nay votes. The Chairman declared the motion unanimously carried.

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT -STATE MR&I PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1993 FUNDING (SWC Project No. 237-3)

The Fiscal Year 1993 Garrison Diversion Unit federal appropriations are estimated to be \$30 million. Jeffrey Mattern indicated this includes \$18

million for the State MR&I Water Supply Program. He said the possibility exists for the Garrison budget to be increased to \$35 million. This increase would provide a MR&I Water Supply Program budget of \$22 million.

The proposed MR&I Water Supply Program budget for both the \$18 and \$22 million appropriations was presented for consideration, which is attached hereto as APPENDIX "C".

Due to the amount of federal funds available, there will be a shortage of funds in the loan program. Mr. Mattern said this shortage will require additional allocations and state reimbursement from the Southwest Pipeline Project to support the 35 percent loan portion of the program. The projects for Fiscal Year 1993 funding are as follows:

	\$18 Million MR&I Grant	\$22 Million MR&I Grant
Southwest Pipeline Project	\$ 8,042,623	\$11,264,437
Ramsey County Rural Water	2,080,000	2,080,000
Missouri West Rural Water	4,940,000	4,940,000
Garrison Rural Water	1,300,000	1,300,000
State Reimbursement	1,980,000	2,252,365
Feasibility Study	25,000	25,000
State Administration	161,250	161,250
Other Projects	0	505,821
Total	\$18,528,873	\$22,528,873

It was the recommendation of the State Engineer that approval be given for the Fiscal Year 1993 MR&I Water Supply Program budget, contingent upon approval of a Fiscal Year 1993 federal appropriation for the Garrison Diversion Unit Project and subject to future revisions.

The Garrison Diversion Conservancy District Board of Directors considered and approved the Fiscal Year 1993 MR&I Water Supply Program budget, contingent upon approval of a Fiscal Year 1993 federal appropriation for the Garrison Diversion Unit Project and subject to future revisions.

It was moved by Commissioner Gust and seconded by Commissioner Narlock that the State Water Commission approve the Fiscal Year 1993 MR&I Water Supply Program budget. This motion is contingent upon approval of a Fiscal Year 1993 federal appropriation for the Garrison Diversion Unit Project and is subject to future revisions.

Commissioners Byerly, Farstveet, Gust, Kramer, Narlock, Rudel, Spaeth, Vogel, and Chairman Omdahl voted aye. There were no nay votes. The Chairman declared the motion unanimously carried.

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT - PROJECT PRIORITY POINTS SYSTEM (SWC Project No. 237-3)

Jeffrey Mattern reviewed the MR&I Water Supply Program priority points system approved by the State Water Commission.

The system was discussed with no changes proposed at this time.

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF
AGREEMENT FOR JOINT EXERCISES
OF GOVERNMENTAL POWERS
(SWC Project No. 237-3)

Jeffrey Mattern stated the agreement for the Joint Exercises of Governmental Powers between the North Dakota State Water Commission and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy Dis-

trict Board of Directors concerning the Municipal, Rural and Industrial (MR&I) Water Program, authorized by Public Law 99-294, has expired.

A draft agreement for the Joint Exercises of Governmental Powers was presented for consideration. Mr. Mattern explained Section 13 of the agreement was changed to be consistent with the Memorandum of Understanding between the Commission and the Board. The change states that the agreement shall be effective until modified in writing.

Conservancy District Board of Directors approved the draft agreement for the Joint Exercises of Governmental Powers between the North Dakota State Water Commission and the Board of Directors of the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District concerning the Municipal, Rural and industrial Water Program, authorized by Public Law 99-294.

It was moved by Commissioner Narlock and seconded by Commissioner Vogel that the State Water Commission approve the draft agreement for the Joint Exercises of Governmental Powers between the North Dakota State Water Commission and the Board of Directors of the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District concerning the Municipal, Rural and Industrial Water Supply Program, authorized by Public Law 99-294. See APPENDIX "D".

Commissioners Byerly, Farstveet, Gust, Kramer, Narlock, Rudel, Spaeth, Vogel, and Chairman Omdahl voted aye. There were no nay votes. The Chairman declared the motion unanimously carried.

Commissioner Vogel leaves the meeting.

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT CONSIDERATION OF REQUEST FROM
CITY OF FARGO FOR MR&I FUNDING
ASSISTANCE ON DESIGN AND
CONSTRUCTION COMPONENTS ON
WATER SUPPLY PROJECT
(SWC Project No. 237-37)

The State Water Commission and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District Board of Directors considered a request from the City of Fargo for MR&I funding assistance on the design and construction components of their water supply

project. Estimated cost is \$9.35 million and includes high service pumping, elevated water storage and a raw water supply intake. This is part of the \$66.2 million water supply project being implemented in Fargo. Federal MR&I payment was recently approved to the City of Fargo for reimbursement of 25 percent of the city's cost for the Fargo water supply, treatment and storage feasibility study.

Jeffrey Mattern indicated that since the last major water supply improvements in the mid-1970's, the city has increased nearly 40 percent in population to a current level of 74,111. The construction schedule in the request indicated that the above mentioned components would be started in 1993. The current information would give Fargo a total of 39 priority points. Mr. Mattern explained that Fargo would not be included in funding for Fiscal Year 1993 based on the current priority of projects and the estimated federal Fiscal Year 1993 MR&I budget.

Mr. Mattern stated Fargo should be given the opportunity to proceed with interim financing to ensure the proposed water project schedule is maintained. He said this requires that the proposed project be determined eligible for MR&I funding assistance, but still required to meet all MR&I program requirements and then later be reimbursed for project expenditures when federal funds become available.

It was the recommendation of the State Engineer that the Fargo water supply project design and construction components be approved for future reimbursement of eligible project costs, contingent upon meeting all program requirements and availability of funds. Preapproval of this project shall not guarantee approval of funds unless the project meets the requirements of the priority ranking at the time funds become available.

which included comments relative to the North Dakota Water Users Association initiated tax measure, the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District Board of Directors offered a motion to defer action on the request for funding assistance from the City of Fargo and that each Board member receive a copy of the application for further study. The motion was defeated.

The request was further discussed and clarification of issues provided. A motion was then passed by the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District Board of Directors that the Fargo Water Supply Project be approved for future reimbursement of eligible project costs, contingent upon meeting all program requirements and availability of funds. Preapproval of this project shall not guarantee approval of funds unless the project meets the requirements of the priority ranking at the time funds become available.

It was moved by Commissioner Gust and seconded by Commissioner Narlock that the State Water Commission approve the Fargo Water Supply Project for future reimbursement of eligible project costs, contingent upon meeting all program requirements and availability of funds. Preapproval of this project shall not guarantee approval of funds unless the project meets the requirements of the priority ranking at the time funds become available.

Commissioners Byerly, Farstveet, Gust, Kramer, Narlock, Rudel, Spaeth, and Chairman Omdahl voted aye. There were no nay votes. The Chairman declared the motion unanimously carried.

DEVILS LAKE STABILIZATION PROJECT UPDATE (SWC Project No. 1712)

Secretary Sprynczynatyk provided a status report on the Devils Lake Stabilization Project. The Corps of Engineers

has completed its draft reconnaissance report for the Devils Lake Study. The report indicates there was a feasible alternative which justifies a feasibility study. This conclusion was based upon the St. Paul District's efforts and is currently being reviewed by higher headquarters in Chicago and Washington, DC.

Secretary Sprynczynatyk indicated he is working with Senator Burdick's office to seek legislation that would direct the Corps of Engineers to initiate a feasibility study in 1993. He explained one of the Corps of Engineers requirements is a non-federal cost share.

authorized the State Engineer at its February 4, 1992 meeting to provide a letter of intent to the Corps of Engineers to proceed with the feasibility study for the Devils Lake Basin. The letter of intent provided the assurances that the State Water Commission understands the financial requirements and expressed the intent to become the local sponsor, or find an appropriate local sponsor from the Devils Lake Basin.

Secretary Sprynczynatyk provided a briefing of a meeting and tour of the Devils Lake Basin held on June 8 and 9, 1992 by the Corps of Engineers to discuss their draft reconnaissance report. He indicated he has met with representatives in Washington, DC expressing the importance of moving ahead with the stabilization of Devils Lake.

Secretary Sprynczynatyk briefed the group on efforts by Senators Burdick and Conrad that would direct the Bureau of Reclamation to begin preparations to provide water to eastern North Dakota and to restore Devils Lake. Draft language is being prepared for the Fiscal Year 1993 Energy and Water Appropriations bill directing the Bureau to study the project and come up with a report by March, 1994.

NA CHIIN HUUN - DAKOTA PROJECT Secretary Sprynczynatyk provided the group with a status report on the Na chiin Huun - Dakota Project and said negotiations are continuing with the Three Affiliated Tribes concerning the location of the intake, the Indian water right, and control of the facility.

Secretary Sprynczynatyk indicated it is the intent of the Chairman of the Na chiin Huun - Dakota Project Advisory Committee to call a meeting in July to address the issue and determine how the proceed with the project. He discussed funding options that could be available if the decision is made to move forward with the project.

Kent Conrad introduced a bill for authorization of the Na chiin Huun - Dakota Project. Secretary Sprynczynatyk indicated field hearings were planned for March, 1992 for the project but the hearings were postponed because of budget complications in Congress.

On May 8, 1992, a letter was sent to Wilbur Wilkenson, Chairman of the Three Affiliated Tribes, from Governor Sinner stating, in part:

"Due to the increasing demands I am seeing for resolving water supply needs across the state, I believe it is extremely important that a decision be made soon on how to move forward with a water supply system for northwest North Dakota. I also believe the Three Affiliated Tribes has a critical role in determining how the system will be developed. Because of this role, I would appreciate knowing the current position of the Three Affiliated Tribes Business Council concerning the Na chiin Huun -Dakota Project and the legislation which was introduced by Senator Conrad. Since Congress will be adjourning early this year, it will be necessary to act soon. Thus, if the Council can tell us its position on the project by the first of June, we will be able to decide how to proceed."

Secretary Sprynczynatyk indicated that to date no response to Governor Sinner's letter has been received from the Three Affiliated Tribes.

Don Morgan, Administrator for the Three Affiliated Tribes Natural Resources Division, explained SB 168, JTAC bill, which is reviewing the effects of Garrison Dam on the people of the Fort Berthold Reservation and Standing Rock Reservation. Mr. Morgan indicated that the findings conclude that the Indians were not adequately compensated for land taken from them for the reservoirs. Mr. Morgan stated the Three Affiliated Tribes does not want to jeopardize funding that could be provided through the JTAC bill and, therefore, the Tribe has been hesitant to fully support the concept of the integrated Na chiin Huun - Dakota Project, which would deliver water to nine counties in northwest North Dakota.

WETLANDS COORDINATION REPORT SWC Project No. 1810)

Charon Johnson, No-Net Loss of Wetlands Coordinator, reviewed the duties and responsi-

bilities of the coordinator, discussed the agreement he is working under and how it evolved, and said the intent is for the coordinator to work with farmers to properly implement the program to provide the intended benefits to the farmers. He indicated approximately 75 percent of his time is spent on the no-net loss effort and 25 percent with Garrison Diversion project activities.

Mr. Johnson discussed project progress and reviewed some of the projects. Because there are so many regulations, he said, one of his principle objectives is to work the farmers through the maize and ultimately make this process work. Mr. Johnson said since the state passed the no-net loss legislation, the federal government keeps changing its rules, making it extremely difficult to accomplish anything.

Mr. Johnson reported on meetings held with representatives in Washington, DC. In summary, he said to make no-net loss work, we need to get some changes in the federal regulation.

BIOTA TRANSFER STUDY UPDATE (SWC Project No. 1828)

Eugene Krenz, Director of the Planning and Education Division of the State Water Commission,

said the Biota Transfer Study was initiated in 1986 as a result of the Garrison Diversion Unit Study report. He said the study never really moved forward until 1988 due to lack of Canadian interest. Of major concern to the Canadians are the gizzard shad, Utah chub and the rainbow smelt, and three pathogens.

Mr. Krenz said as of June, 1992, approximately \$363,000 has been committed to this research by the Conservancy District and the State Water Commission. To date, approximately 80 percent of the species found in the Upper Mississippi Basin have also been found in the Hudson Basin, suggested that not only is natural biota transfer occurring, but at a much more rapid rate than predicted.

Mr. Krenz explained the process used in selecting research studies and reviewed some of the studies that are currently being undertaken. He said they invite proposals that need to be dealt with, and as they come in, they are reviewed and either accepted or rejected.

On March 25-29, 1992, a symposium was held at which time the scientists involved presented their views on the studies they were involved in.

Regarding the timetable for completion of the study, Mr. Krenz indicated that the program is making good progress in addressing the initial charge, but that new issues emerge as a result of ongoing research.

GARRISON DIVERSION PROJECT GENERAL COMMENTS BY LIEUTENANT
GOVERNOR LLOYD OMDAHL
(SWC Project No. 237)

Chairman Omdahl, who is leaving the Governor's office in December, 1992, offered general comments regarding the Garrison Diversion Project. He stressed

the importance that North Dakota's water leaders must continue efforts to develop funding sources for completion and utilization of the project. He said, "if we wait for the perfect time and the perfect funding program, we are going to do nothing." Chairman Omdahl expressed his appreciation in working with the State Water Commission and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District on the Garrison Diversion Project.

by the State Water Commission and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District expressing appreciation and thanks to Chairman Omdahl for the leadership he has provided and, hopefully, that he will continue to provide.

There being no further business from the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District, which was unanimously carried, to adjourn the joint meeting.

It was moved by Commissioner Spaeth, seconded by Commissioner Gust, and unanimously carried, that the joint meeting adjourn.

declared the joint meeting of the North Dakota State Water Commission and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District adjourned at 11:35 AM.

Lloyd B. Omdahl

State Engineer and

Chief Engineer-Secretary

Lieutenant Governor-Chairman ND State Water Commission

SEAL

ATTENDA	NCE PAT State	Statu Com	micion "	Y = := (	\ - 1 M-1-
DATE	July 2.1	State Com 292 PLACE	Bismarile	1 District	faint Meeting
	, 0		PROJECT NO.		

Your Name	Your Address	Who do you Represent? (Or Occupation)
Jogo m Byerly	Wacford city No. 8854	
Ton & Marlow	934 46 one 5 9 montook N. D 58201	S.W.C
Forman Rudel	724 465 58438 Tessenden 71. D.	5 4 9
Jake Hust	4614 81st 111 Fargo 58102	'swc,
Jerond forth	3008 Par St ME. Tago Mo. Nak. 620- 224. n.a.	5WC
Jony Graner	mind ( )- Dak 58201	S.W.C.
Meijorist Farstrus	Buch 4. 58621	SWC.
Da Kendgren	C. 89 Halp Forgo: NO 58:02	Cass Co. Comism O.
Rickanderson	Rt 1 Box 83 Colcharbon 58531-9772	C-Dist
Charles Klasterman	7835 Co RRI THOUSE TR 58061	C-Dist
Stephen asiley	RI BOX 86B Volais M. N. 58792	C-Pint
Stephen Makaer	CarringTon, ND 58421	C-Dist.
Jernel Libbe	121 E. Brewst St Horas 110 58341-1604	C-0:51.
Frank Outhmeyer	0 ,	of C-Distr
- Donal	23 County Road, 58368	C - Diste Piens
		7 - 70

ATTENDANCE AT	tate Satir Commission and Barrosian
DATE Quy	State Status Commission and Barres of Meeting
	PROJECT NO.

Your Name	Your Address	Who do you Represent? (Or Occupation)
Ros Mushines	le Devilo Lake	Soco.
Wil Tumbe	Box31-Ra LisbonN	
Dich tenshe	841 box 93 Palrenger	
CEMERSON MURRY	He 9, BOX246 BISMARCK, N.D. 58501	& DeD
Davin A Smacry	whit Bismaick	STATE Enjureer
Lloyd Blanks	V	Tovem
Skarley Right	New Rocked	C. Dut.
	Routland M. Dah. BB#1 Box14 58774	y. p. c. P.
Donay Hos	* AR 3 Box 59	DOCA
John Itan	MINOT	6000
Mauric Om	Shrum nd 58069	GDCD
Ken Leininger	Birton 2 70 58416	HDCD
Suter andum	minut . M x 8711	4 DCD
Tilmer Roising	moduly n D5863	&DCD
Thomas Ch han	Lane NASSUS	ADE D

ATTEN	DANCE AT	State State	u Con	muica	and	L + 4
DATE_	Kuly	James on	PLACE_	Biamar	ik, na	Gent Meling
	0 - 1			PROJECT		

Your Name	Your Address	Who do you Represent? (Or Occupation)
Larry Knudtson	900 E Blud. Bismarck	3 W C
L. Mallner	1100 Industrial Dr. Bis.	C/District
ELindgren	2001 N7+5t.	quest
Wille MASTEL	1264-11th Itw Deckins	Sw w Husbailly
Leonard Dacota	HeBZ Borz Rodu AD SYGUS	8 W W authority
Low By	Henz Box 37	SUA
Rob Daily	944 N. Hth	GOCD.
Fletcher Poling	6/2-W. Thouga B15.	Basin Electric Power Cooperation
Dick Kroger	1500 Capital Rue Bis	FWS
MARK HOFFILMUN	KBMIN. GRAND FORKS.	ENGINEER. GARRISON RWTR
Stow Dyke	100 NH Bis. 5 1pm	N. D Com & Freh
Gene Krenz	BISMOTOC BISMOTOC	SUIC
MURRAYG. SAGSVEEN	Bunisiek	Sp. Asst Alty Gal
7	BR 1 By 17 Colcher	Chair Turth Luk Jugala
Wel H- Olune	Bismarck	Burleigh Water Res District

ATTENDANCE AT State Date	Lammurin and Laurening
DATE July 2, 1992	PLACE Dunale Tout Mulus
4 1	PROJECT NO

Your Name	Your Address	Who do you Represent? (Or Occupation)
Olayne Burling	Coveryton N.D	60.C.D.
John Tiger	Bismarch N.D	U.S. Bureau & Reclomation
Mortal Hamst	BISMARCK, ND	AMERICAN ELGINEERING
Dung Olong	Washun N.D.	Mayor Cty Wasler
Mike Duyer	Bismarck ND	ND Water Users
Charon Johnson	Derik The no	7
DERN FAHY	BISMARCK ND.	š ·
And, Mark	Mandon	Morton WRBBOMAN
Dave Koland	Bismarck	ND Rural Water Systems Asse
Felicia Felix-Fox	NewTOWN, ND	MR+1 Three Affiliated Tribes
Makedind kemban	New pun NI	MAFF Church Arraild Cabel
Dary Framer	And the second s	Sen Burlicki office
Lindy Megan	TSOX 21 60 NEW TOWN, N.D 58767	The Affiliated Dieles

ATTEN	DANCE AT_	State Set	u lem	nunia	and	Danui	· .
DATE_	July	21442	PLACE_	Biene	wite	it Jain	t Mulery
	, 0			PROJECT	NO	بوسمونجي	

Your Name	Your Address	Who do you Represent? (Or Occupation)
ONNIE Drynerymanik	2506 Stevens St. Bruss	GDCD - Burleich Com
Mit Lochow	1530 - Skyline Dr. Jamestown, M.D. 58401	GDCD - Stateman Pounty
Roger Johnson	1530 - SKylino Dr. Jamestown, M.D. 55401 RTI BoyabA Tolma, M. J. 58380	GDCD-Stateman lounty GDCD. Melson county
8 /		
		,
V		
Б		

APPENDIX "A"





# MUNICIPAL, RURAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY PROGRAM

900 EAST BOULEVARD • BISMARCK, ND 58505 • 701-224-2752

Garrison Diversion Unit MR&I Water Supply Program
Priority List Scorecard

June 4, 1992

******	ECT CO SER TO SER HIS SER CO			m == m =		-	60 to 00			-		
Total	1	l	Part	l				Par	t I	I		
Points	Phase	Project Name	I	j 1		В	C1	C2	D1	D2	E	F
					-		-	FD GIS SA	00 MJ 455	105 103 105	(A) 622 103	10 m m
60	4	SWPP Phase I SA	52	9		0	0	0	1	1	1	5
60	1 4	SWPP Phase II SA	52	9		0	0	0	1	1	1	5
60	1 4	SWPP Phase IV SA	52		) (	0	0	0	1	1	1	5
55	4	Ramsey County Rural Water		1 0	) (	4	0	0	1	0	0	5
55	4	Missouri West Water Users	45			D	Ŏ	0	1	1	0	8
52	2	Garrison Rural Water	45	1	. '	4	0	0	0	2	0	.0
45	3	Lehr Water Supply	35	0	) (	0	3	0	2	2	0	3
45	3	Rolla Water Supply	35	C	) (	0	3	2	1	1	0	3
43	4	SWPP Phase III SA	30	0	) (	0	0	0	1	1	1	10
42	2	Glenfield Water Supply	35	0	1	4	0	0	2	1	0	0
42	3	Hannaford Water Supply	30	0	4	4	5	0	2	1	0	0
38	1 3	Streeter Water Supply	25			4	5	0	2	2	0	0
38	3	Tolna Water Supply	25	jo	4	4	5	0	2	2	0	0
38	4	SWPP Phase V SA	30	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	5
38	4	SWPP Phase VI SA	30	0	•	)	0		1	1	1	5
36	3	Kensal Water Supply	25	0		4	3	0	2	2	0	0
32	2	Walhalla Water Supply	30	0		)	0	0	1	1	0	0
32	2	Williams Rural Water	30	1	. (	)	0	0 0 1 2	1	0	0	0
31	2	Osnabrock Water Supply	25	0	(	)	3	0	2	1	0	0
24	2	Binford Water Supply	10	j	4	4	5	1	2	2	0	0
23	2	Mapleton Water Supply	10	jo	4	4	5	2	2	0	0	0
23	2	Pekin Water Supply	10	٥	4	4	5	0 2 0 2 2	2	2	0	0
23	3	Harvey Vater Supply	15	Ō	- 4	4	0	2	1	1	0	0
23	2	Bisbee Water Supply	15	j o		)	5	0	2		0	0
22	3	Towner Treatment Plant	10	0	4		3	2	2	1	0	0
22	3	Edgeley Water Supply	10	0	- 4		3	2	2	1	0	0
22	3	Wishek Water Supply	10	O		)	5	1	1	2	0	3
22	3	Rugby Water Treat Phase2	15	0			0	1	1	1	0	0
21	2	Carson Water Supply	10	0			5	2	2	2	0	0
21	3	Killdeer Water Supply	10	Ö	(	)	5	1	2	0	3	0
19	3	Bismarck Water Treatment	10	3			0	2 1	0	0	0	0
18	3	Fargo Water Supply	10	0			0	1	0	0	0	3
17	j 2 j	Minnewaukan Water Supply	10	0	- 4	į.	٥	0	2	1	0	0
16	2 1	Stutsman Rural Water	10	0		2	0	0	2		0	0
16	233423334432222223233332233222222222222	Park River Water Supply	10	0	(	)	0	0	1	1	0	3
16	2	Adams Water Supply	10	٥		)	3	0	2	1	0	0
13	1 2 1	Williston Treatment	10	1	(	)	•	2	0	0	0	0
13	2	Grafton Water Supply	10	0	C		0	1	1	1	٥	0
13	2	Ray-Tioga Improvement	10	2	(	)	0	0	1	0	0	0

Phase	Legend	Total
	1 - Application (not listed)	49
	2 - Preliminary Engineering Report	15
	3 - Feasibility Study	16
	4 - Design and construction	3
	5 - Completed (not listed)	17





NORTH DAKOTA STATE WATER COMMISSION 701-224-2752 • FAX 701-224-3696

# MUNICIPAL, RURAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY PROGRAM

900 EAST BOULEVARD . BISMARCK, ND 58505 . 701-224-2752

Garrison Diversion Unit Municipal, Rural & Industrial Water Supply Program Summary of Applications Submitted to Program. June 4, 1992

		1		1	1	
PROJECT NAME	SWC PROJ. 237-	COUNTY	DATE APPLICATION RECEIVED	ESTIMATED COST FOR FEASIBILITY	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	OBLIGATED FEDERAL MRES FUNDS
				STUDY		1
5+3 Rugby Water Treatment Ph I & II	31	Pierce	3/17/88	\$14,000	\$1,325,035	\$248,122
5 Abercrombie Water Supply	11	Richland	8/17/87	\$2,000	\$45,000	\$27,319
5 Agassiz Water Users Sup 5 Cavalier Water Supply	12	Multiple	9/25/87	\$20,000	\$585,000	\$391,365
5 Cavalier Water Supply 5 Englevale Mural Water Supply	. 3		9/23/87	NA	\$700,000	\$455,000
5 Grandin Water Supply	35 38	Ransom   Cass-Traill	11/4/88	NA NA	\$96,000	\$66,962
5 Gwinner Water Treatment	21	Sargent	2/19/88 12/7/87	\$10,000 \$25,000	\$113,400	\$69,710
5 Hankinson Water Supply	22	Richland	9/23/87	\$8,000	\$1,625,087 \$795,000	\$182,500 \$382,927
5 Kindred Water Supply	40	Cass	10/15/87	\$8,000	\$103,000	\$68,950
5 Langdon Rural-Phase I & II	6834	Cavalier	5/27/87	\$19,500	\$5,783,996	\$4,343,841
5 McLean-Sheridan Rural Water	1782	Multiple	6/9/87	\$133,400	\$9,380,000	\$6,887,597
5 Minto Water Supply 5 New Your Water Supply Line		Walsh	8/20/87	\$20,000	\$794,000	\$595,500
5 New Town Water Supply Line 5 North Valley Improvements	28	Mountrail	3/21/88	\$10,000	\$154,000	\$81,817
5 Riverside Park Dam	15 520-2	Pembina	8/19/87	\$15,000	\$1,411,000	\$1,045,525
5 Stanley, Ray, Tioga Water Supply	3	Grand Forks     Mountrail	12/17/86	\$65,000	81,752,000	\$1,256,047
5 Tri-County W Users Sup	17	(Multiple)	10/29/87   9/11/87	NA   \$12,000	\$2,881,000	
4 Ramsey Co Rural Water	3	Ransey	9/8/87	\$50,000	\$344,250   \$9,156,000	\$232,750 \$4,320,110
4 Southwest Pipeline	1736	Multiple	5/12/86	NA I	= \$143,498,011	\$37,440,564
4 Missouri West Water Users	27	Morton	9/13/88	\$31,500	\$23,773,841	
3 Bismarck Water Treatment	3	Burleigh i	1/14/88	N/A	\$8,100,000	
3 Edgeley Water Supply	19	Lalloure [	10/16/87	\$6,500	\$471,000	\$4,875
3 Fargo Water Supply 3 Garrison Rural Water Assec	37	Cass	10/13/88	\$20,000 [	\$9,350,000	\$5,000
3 Garrison Rural Water Assoc 3 Hannaford Water Supply	3	McLean	8-20-90		\$2,000,000	\$3,750
3 Harvey Water Supply	23 24	Griggs (	12/9/87	\$7,500	\$232,300	\$5,625
3 Kensal Water Supply	39	Vells   Stutsman	9/16/87   10/29/87	\$8,100	\$1,050,000	\$6,075
3 Killdeer Water Supply	25	Dunn	9/14/87	\$9,000   \$12,000	\$320,000   \$291,000	\$2,250 \$9,000
3 Lehr Water Supply	26	McIntosh	10/9/87	\$7,000	\$354,000	\$5,250
3 Park River Water Supply	41	Walsh	12/19/86	\$14,000	\$2,113,000	\$3,500
3 Rolla Water Supply	30 j	Relette	9/24/87	\$8,000	\$628,000	\$6,000
3 Sawyer Water System 3 Streeter Water Symply Teorem	8	Werd	7/31/87	\$8,600	\$182,100 j	
3 Streeter Water Supply Improv 3 Tolna Water Supply	35	Stutsmen	1/12/88	\$7,500	\$250,000	\$5,625
3 Towner Treatment Plant	16   10	Nelson	10/20/87	\$7,500	\$250,000	\$5,625
3 Wishek Water Supply	33	McHenry   McIntosh	11/18/86   9/29/87	\$9,000	\$234,000	\$6,750
2 Adams Water Supply	3	Walsh	3/31/88	\$9,000   \$12,000	\$693,000   \$400,000	\$6,750
2 All Seasons Water Supply	3 1	Bottineau	2/4/88	\$6,500	\$269,000	
2 Binford Water Supply	3 j	Griggs	9/8/87	\$11,000	\$154,000	
2 Bisbee Water Supply	3 [	Towner	8/16/87	\$10,000	\$295,000	
2 Bottineau Water Supply 2 Burlington Water Treatment	3 [	Bottineau	10/14/87	\$10,800	\$2,750,000	
2 Burlington Water Treatment 2 Carpio Water System	3	Ward	3/28/88	1	\$356,800	
2 Carpio Water System 2 Carson Water Supply	3	Ward	2/1/88	\$20,000	\$531,375	
2 Columbus Water Supply	3	Grant   Burke	8/29/89	\$6,850	\$159,962	
2 Crown Butte Water Supply	3	Norton I	10/15/87   5/28/87	\$5,000   \$17,000	\$249,000   \$523,000	
2 Dakota Water Users	3 1	Steele	9/8/88	417,000	\$600,000	
2 Drake Water Supply	3 [	McHenry	11/13/86	\$12,000	\$420,200	
2 Elgin Water Supply	3 j	Grant	11/3/88	1	\$1,992,275	
2 Flaxton Water Supply	3 [	Burke j	5/10/88	i	\$20,000	
2 Glenfield Water Supply 2 Grafton Water Supply	3	Foster	11/25/86	\$12,000	\$225,000	
2 Grafton Water Supply 2 Mapleton Water Supply	3	Walsh	3/30/88	\$45,000	\$3,200,000	
2 McVille Water Supply	3	Cass	10/8/87	\$3,000	\$256,000	
2 Medora Water Supply	3	Nelson   Billings	9/1/87	\$9,000	\$475,000	
2 Minnewaukan Water Supply	3	Benson	3/23/88   3/9/89	\$2,000	\$28,100	
2 North Prairie Water Supply	3	Ward	7/31/87	\$5,000   \$1,500	\$1,078,000   \$92,599	
2 Osnabrock Water System	3 [	Cavalier	3/9/88	\$30,000	\$875,000	
2 Pekin Water Supply	3 [	Nelson	4/12/88	\$6,000	\$190,000	

2		3	•	6/12/87	!		5
2	Ross Water Supply	3	Mountrail	9/25/87	\$15,000	\$421,000	
2	•	3		1/8/87	\$18,000	\$1,445,000	
2		3		10/9/87	\$22,000	\$753,000	
2	Walhalla Water Supply	3		9/23/87	\$30,000	\$1,409,000	
2	Williams Rural Water Assoc	3		4/26/88	\$45,000	\$1,333,000	
2	Wyndmere Water Supply	3		8/10/87	\$7,500	\$328,000	
1	Alexander Water Treatment	3		5/5/88			
1	Aneta Water Supply	3		5/13/89			
1	Arthur Water Supply	3		10/27/87			
1	Boudon Water Storage System	3		7/10/88	1		
1	Brooks Add'n Water Supply	3	Ward	11/14/89			
1	Burleigh Water Users Coop	3	Burleigh	6/30/88	1		
1		3	Towner	12-19-90	1	1	
1		3	Foster	9-21-87	1	1	
1	Christine Water Supply	3	Richland	3-10-89	1		
1	City of Devils Lake Water Supply	3	Ramsey	3-9-92	1	i	
1	Crary Water Supply	3	Ramsey	5-30-89	1	i	
1	Crosby Water Treatment	3	Divide	3-14-88	i	i	
1	Dickey Rural Water Users, Assn.	3	Dickey	6-4-91	j	i	
1	Dun Center V. Stor. Res.	3	Dunn	6-27-88	i	i	
1	Fessenden Water Supply	3	Wells	9-5-89	i	i	
1	Fingal Water Supply	3	Barnes	7-22-88	i	i	
1	Forbes Water Supply	3	Dickey I	2-29-88	i	i	
1	Gackle Water Supply	3		8-9-89	i	i	
1	Grand Prairie Estates	3		11-27-90	i		
i	Harwood Water Supply	3		11-17-87	i		
i	Herbon Water Supply	3	Horton I	12-14-89	i	i	
1	Jamestown Water Treatment	3	Stutsman	5-12-88	i	i	
1	Lake Sakakayea Estates Water Users	3		10-30-90	i	\$159,000	
i	L Missouri Bay Rec Area	3	Dunn	5/27/87	i	0.57,000	
i	LaMoure Water Supply	3	Laffoure	5/5/88	1		
i	Langdon Water Supply	3	Cavalier	9/24/87	1		
i	Lignite Water Supply	3	Burke	9/30/87	}		
i	Marion Water Supply	3	Laffoure	12/28/87	- 1	1	
i	Mayville Water Treatment	3	Traill	3/27/89			
i		3				14	
	Michigan Water Supply	- 1		2/6/89	!		
1	Milnor Water Supply	3	Sargent	8/7/89	!		
1	Hount Carmel Dam	3	Cavalier	1/10/90	!	\$500,000	
1	Nountrail Rural Water Assoc	3	Mountrail	3/8/88			
1	Napoleon Water Supply	3	Logan	8-30-91	!	\$500,000	
1	Northwood Water Treatment	3	Grand Forks	7/11/90			
1	MW Area Water Supply East	4 ]]	(Multiple)	10/21/67	\$207,331	\$175,494,000	\$155,49
1	NW Area Water Supply West	4	(Multiple)	10/21/87	1	\$30,040,000	
1	Page Water Supply	3	Cass	4/17/89	1	1	
1	Souris Water Treatment	3	Bottineau	1/19/88	1	1	
1	Southern Pierce County Rural Water	3	Pierce	11/27/91	ĺ	1	
1	Tuttle Water Supply	3	Kidder	4/7/88	ì	i	
1	Velva Water Supply	3	McHenry	3/28/88	i	i	
1	Walcott Water Supply	3	Richland	7/29/87	i	i	
1	Washburn Improvements	3	McLean	8/20/87	i	i	
1	Westhope Water Supply	3	Bottineau	3/3/88	i	i	
	Williston Water Supply	3	Williams	12/1/87	\$50,000 j	\$4,220,000	
1		3	Bernes	5/5/88	, , , , ,	1	
•	Writing Rock Rural Water	3	Multiple	3/28/88		1	
•	State Reimbursement			-,,	i	i	\$1,759,64
	Administration	- 8				1	\$805,84
	Withdrawn			i i		1	\$16,035
		- 2					-,-,-,-

\* --- Includes rural water systems serving a part of the Southwest Pipeline.

Estimated project cost of east NAWS / Ft Berthold Water Project =	\$175,494,000
Project cost of features completed in Southwest Pipeline =	\$50,352,075
MREI Project cost of work completed =	\$22,910,518
Total estimated project costs needed to complete remaining projects =	\$214,019,738

Phases			TOTAL	
1 - Appli	cation Submitted	300	48	
2 - Preli	minary Eng. Report		30	
3 - Feasi	bility Study	-	16	
4 - Desig	n & Construction	-	3	
5 - Compl	etion	=	17	
Withd	Irawn	-	7	Goldern Lake, Kenmare, Maddock, Plaza, & St John
	TOTAL PROJECTS	•	121	Garrison Water Supply, Old Settlers

#### APPROVED BUDGET

SWC: #237-3

#### Garrison Diversion Unit HREI Water Supply Progrem FY92 Funding of \$19,440,389

Date: 6-22-92

Ranking Points	Project De	escription	Estimated Cost	65.00% Federal MR&I	Cummulative MR&I Funds	35.00% State Loan	Cummulative State Funds
60	Southwest Pipeline	DEC	\$9,700,000	\$9,700,000	\$9,700,000	\$0	\$0
55	Ramsey Co Rural Water Ph 1	С	\$6,000,000	\$3,900,000	\$13,600,000	\$2,100,000	\$2,100,000
55	Missouri West Phase 1	D&C	\$3,500,000	\$2,275,000	\$15,875,000	\$1,225,000	\$3,325,000
44	Tri-County Rural Water	D&C	\$283,000	\$183,950	\$16,058,950	\$99,050	\$3,424,050
53	Stanley-Ray-Tioga	D&C	\$2,890,000	\$1,878,500	\$17,937,450	\$1,011,500	\$4,435,550
56	Kindred	D&C	\$132,000	\$85,800	\$18,023,250	\$46,200	\$4,481,750
	State Reimbursement		\$0	\$1,219,490	\$19,242,740	\$0	\$4,481,750
	Feasbility Study		\$100,000	\$25,000	\$19,267,740	\$0	\$4,481,750
	Administration		\$402,667	\$302,000	\$19,569,740	\$0	\$4,481,750
		Total	\$23,007,667	\$19,569,740	1992 Loans	\$4,481,750	191
	Estimated FY'92 funds			19	991 RTF Loans	\$737,740	
	FY'92 FY'91 (State Reimbursement) FY'91 (North Valley)		\$15,000,000 \$3,603,709 \$836,680		Total loans	\$5,219,490	¥
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1000,000	Resource	ce Trust Fund	\$4,000,000	
		TOTAL	\$19,440,389 -\$129,351	Require	ed Loan funds	\$1,219,490	

1991 RTF Loans Agassiz \$204,750, Grandin, & North Valley \$496,800.

#### PROPOSED BUDGET

SWC: #23	37-3
----------	------

Garrison Diversion Unit MR&I Water Supply Program FY92 Funding of \$20,053,542 Date: 6-22-92

Ranking Points	Project	Description	Estimated Cost	65.00% Føderal MR&I	Cummulative MR&I Funds	35.00% State Loan	Cummulative State Funds
60	Southwest Pipeline	D&C	\$9,700,000	\$9,700,000	\$9,700,000	80	so
55	Ramsey Co Rural Water Ph	1 C	\$6,000,000	\$3,900,000	\$13,600,000	\$2,100,000	\$2,100,000
55	Nissouri West Phase 1	D&C	\$3,500,000	\$2,275,000	\$15,875,000	\$1,225,000	\$3,325,000
44	Tri-County Rural Water	D&C	\$344,230	\$223,750	\$16,098,750	\$120,480	\$3,445,480
53	Stanley-Ray-Tioga	D&C	\$2,890,000	\$1,878,500	\$17,977,250	\$1,011,500	\$4,456,980
56	Kindred	D&C	\$103,000	\$66,950	\$18,044,200	\$36,050	\$4,493,030
	State Reimbursement		\$0	\$1,230,770	\$19,274,969	\$0	\$4,493,030
	Feasbility Study		\$15,000	\$3,750	\$19,278,719	\$0	\$4,493,030
	Administration		\$327,933	\$245,950	\$19,524,669	\$0	\$4,493,030
	Estimated FY'92 funds	Total	\$22,880,163	\$19,524,669	1992 Loans	\$4,493,030	
	FY'92		*45 000 000	19	991 RTF Loans	\$737,740	
_	FY'91 (State Reimburseme	ent)	\$15,000,000 \$3,603,709		Total loans	\$5,230,770	
	FY'91 (North Valley & Ol Drought Assist funds	d Settlers)	\$840,233 \$609,600	Resourc	e Trust Fund	\$4,000,000	
	FY '92 State Reimburseme	TOTAL	\$20,053,542 \$528,873	Require	ed loan funds	\$1,230,770	× 1

D = Design; C = Construction

SUC #237-3

## PROPOSED BUDGET Garrison Diversion Unit MR&I Water Supply Program FY93 Funding of \$18,528,873

Date: 6-22-92

	Ranking Points	Project	Description	Estimated Cost	65.00% Federal MR&I	Cummulative MR&I Funds	35.00% State RTF Loans	Cummulative State Funds
	60	Southwest Pipeline	D&C	\$8,042,623	\$8,042,623	\$8,042,623	\$O	50
	55	Ramsey Co Rural Water Ph	1 DEC	\$3,200,000	\$2,080,000	\$10,122,623	\$1,120,000	\$1,120,000
	55	Missouri West Phase 1	D&C	\$7,600,000	\$4,940,000	\$15,062,623	\$2,660,000	\$3,780,000
	52	Garrison Rural Water	D&C	\$2,000,000	\$1,300,000	\$16,362,623	\$700,000	\$4,480,000
	0	Other	DEC	\$0	\$0	\$16,362,623	\$0	\$4,480,000
		State Reimbursement		<b>\$0</b>	\$1,980,000	\$18,342,623	\$0	\$4,480,000
		Feasbility Study		\$100,000	\$25,000	\$18,367,623	\$0	\$4,480,000
		Administration		\$215,000	\$161,250	\$18,528,873	\$0	\$4,480,000
		Estimated FY'93 funds	Total	\$21,157,623	\$18,528,873	1993 Loans	\$4,480,000	
		Federal FY'93	, , , , , , , , ,	e18 000 000			\$0	
		Federal FY'92 (State Rein Federal FY'92 (year end)	burs <b>eme</b> nt)	\$18,000,000 \$528,873		Total loans	\$4,480,000	29
		rederat F1 92 (year end)		\$0 \$0	Resource	e Trust Fund	\$2,500,000	
			TOTAL Extra =	\$18,528,873 \$0	Require	d Loen funds	\$1,980,000	
******	ECOZASCESO.	******************	*********	**********	********	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	医多种性 电电子 医中毒性 医甲状腺素	**********

SWC #237-3

#### PROPOSED BUDGET Garrison Diversion Unit HREI Water Supply Program FY93 Funding of \$22,528,873

Ranking Points	Project	Description	Estimated Cost	65.00% Federal MR&I	Cummulative MR&I Funds	35.00% State RTF Loans	Cummulative State Funds
60	Southwest Pipeline	D&C	\$11,264,437	\$11,264,437	511,264,437	\$0	\$0
55	Ramsey Co Rural Water Ph	D&C	\$3,200,000	\$2,080,000	\$13,344,437	\$1,120,000	\$1,120,000
55	Missouri West Phase 1	D&C	\$7,600,000	\$4,940,000	\$18,284,437	\$2,660,000	\$3,780,000
52	Garrison Rural Water	D&C	\$2,000,000	\$1,300,000	\$19,584,437	\$700,000	\$4,480,000
	Other	D&C	\$778,186	\$505,821	\$20,090,257	\$272,365	84,752,365
	State Reimbursement		\$0	\$2,252,365	\$22,342,623	\$0	\$4,752,365
	Feasbility Study		\$100,000	\$25,000	\$22,367,623	\$0	\$4,752,365
	Administration		\$215,000	\$161,250	\$22,528,873	\$0	\$4,752,365
	Estimated FY'92 funds	Total	\$25,157,623	\$22,528,873	1993 loans	\$4,752,365	
	Federal FY'93		\$22,000,000			\$0	
	Federal FY'92(State Reim Federal FY'92 (year end)	bursement)	\$528,873 \$0		Total loans	\$4,752,365	
	reduced in the Cycan chap		\$0	Resourc	e Trust Fund	\$2,500,000	
		TOTAL Extra =	\$22,528,873 \$0	Require	ed loan funds	\$2,252,365	

D&C = Design & Construction

GD93\$

C1-16 R1-80

Agreement for the
Joint Exercises of Governmental Powers
Between the
North Dakota State Water Commission
and the
Board of Directors
Garrison Diversion Conservancy District
Concerning the
Municipal, Rural, and Industrial Water Program
Authorized by Public Law 99-294

- 1. This agreement is between the North Dakota State Water Commission (Commission) and the Board of Directors (Board), Garrison Diversion Conservancy District (GDCD), concerning the Municipal, Rural, and Industrial (MR&I) water program authorized by section 5. P.L. 99-294 (the Garrison Diversion Unit Reformulation Act of 1986).
- 2. This agreement is authorized by North Dakota Century Code (N.D.C.C.) chapter 54-40 and sections 61-02-24.1 and 61-24-08.
- 3. The Commission has the authority under N.D.C.C. section 61-02-14 to supply water for MR&I purposes throughout the State of North Dakota. The Board has the authority under N.D.C.C. section 61-24-08 to supply water within the GDCD. The Commission and the Board also have the authority under N.D.C.C. sections 61-02-14 and 61-24-08, to cooperate or contract with the United States (or any agency of the United States) for the purpose of supplying MR&I water in North Dakota.
- 4. It is the intent of the parties to develop a process for the expeditious and efficient submission of proposals to the Secretary of the Interior for the funding of "municipal, rural, and industrial water systems to serve areas throughout the State of North Dakota," section 5, P.L. 99-294. This agreement also provides a mechanism for using the resources of both the Commission and the Board to quickly and efficiently improve the supply of MR&I water throughout the State of North Dakota.
- 5. The Board shall execute a cooperative agreement with the Secretary of the Interior, pursuant to section 5, P.L. 99-294, for the State of North Dakota. The Board shall, among other things, be the fiscal agency for the State of North Dakota concerning money received from and payments made to the United States for the MR&I program authorized by section 5, P.L. 99-294.
- 6. The Commission and the Board shall jointly cause a "needs assessment" to be prepared for MR&I water systems in the State of North Dakota. The Commission and the Board will develop joint criteria for any consultants retained to conduct the "needs assessment" (if a consultant is retained). The Board shall request funds from the Secretary of the Interior for the "needs assessment" which will be cost-shared by the Commission and the Board.
- 7. The Commission and the Board shall assist to the extent funds are available to the Commission and the Board, the preparation of feasibility studies by entities seeking funding of MR&I systems. The State Engineer shall establish guidelines for construction standards and the preparation of feasibility studies. The Board shall request funds from the Secretary of the Interior for feasibility studies which will be cost-shared by the Commission and the Board.

- 8. Proposed MR&I water projects must be consistent with statewide plans and programs of the Commission. Therefore, plans for proposed MR&I water projects must be submitted to the State Engineer for approval.
- 9. Entities seeking funding of MR&I water systems may apply for nonfederal cost-share funds from the Commission and the Board. Funding requests to The Board shall be submitted to the GDCD Manager. Funding requests to the Commission shall be submitted to the Secretary of the Commission, and any requests for money from the Resources Trust Fund must comply with N.D.C.C. section 57-51.1-07.1. For the purposes of this agreement, the term "project" in N.D.C.C. section 57-51.1-07.1 is construed to include the MR&I program authorized in P.L. 99-294.
- 10. Proposed MR&I projects which are recommended by the Board and the Commission shall be submitted by the Board to the Secretary of the Interior for funding pursuant to section 5, P.L. 99-294. The sources of nonfederal cost-share funds must be identified when the projects are submitted for funding to the Secretary of the Interior.
- 11. To ensure a proper scheduling of funding requests to the Secretary of the Interior, the Board and the Commission shall jointly develop and annually update a five-year plan for future funding of MR&I water projects in North Dakota. The GDCD Manager and the Secretary of the Commission shall jointly develop and submit a five-year budget plan and the updates for the benefit of the Commission and the Board.
- 12. Additional needs assessments and feasibility studies will not be prepared for the Southwest Pipeline Project (SWPP). The parties have determined that expeditious completion of the SWPP is in the state, regional, and national interest. Annual funding requests shall be submitted by the Commission, through the Board, to the Secretary of the Interior.
- 13. This agreement shall be effective until modified in writing attached thereto by the Commission and the District.

NORTH DAKOTA STATE WATER COMMISSION By:	BOARD OF DIRECTORS, GARRISON DIVERSION CONSERVANCY DISTRICT By:
Goyernor George A. Sinner Chairman	Charles A. Richter Chairman
DATE:	DATE:
7-10-92	4-2-92